arXiv:1701.09017v1 [math.RT] 31 Jan 2017

PROOF OF THE BMR CONJECTURE FOR G_{20} AND G_{21}

IVAN MARIN

Abstract. We prove two new cases of the Broué-Malle-Rouquier freeness conjecture for the Hecke algebras associated to complex reflection groups. These two cases are the complex reflection groups of rank 2 called G_{20} and G_{21} in the Shephard and Todd classification. This reduces the number of remaining unproven cases to 3.

1. Introduction

Two decades ago, M. Broué, G. Malle and R. Rouquier conjectured in [3] that the generalized Hecke algebras that they attached to an arbitrary complex reflection group satisfy the crucial structural property of the ordinary (Iwahori-)Hecke algebras attached to a finite Coxeter group, namely that they are free modules of rank equal to the order of the group. This is known as the BMR *freeness conjecture*, and it can be easily reduced to the case where the complex reflection group W is irreducible. We refer to [13] for a general exposition of this conjecture and standard results about it.

The Shephard-Todd classification of irreducible complex reflection groups defines an infinite family $G(de, e, n)$ of such groups, for which the conjecture was already known to hold by work of Ariki and Ariki-Koike (see [1, 2]), and a long list of exceptional groups. Subsequent works have proved it for most of the exceptional groups, notably all the ones of rank at least 3 (see [13, 11, 14]), and most of the ones of rank 2 (see [4, 5]). In rank 2, the 5 remaining ones are named, in Shephard-Todd notation, G_{17}, G_{18}, G_{19}, G_{20} and G_{21}. In this work, we prove the cases of G_{20} and G_{21}, by a method of a different nature than in the previous works. This reduces the list of remaining cases to the 3 groups G_{17}, G_{18} and G_{19}, for which it appears difficult to apply readily the methods of this paper.

In section 2 we recall the main definitions, and prove a technical property that will allow us to work over rings of definitions which are polynomial rings, instead of the usual Laurent polynomial rings. In section 3 we explain the general method : how we find a potential basis for the Hecke algebras and how we find a list of rewriting rules. Then, sections 4 and 5 contain the rewriting rules we used in the cases of G_{20} and G_{21}, respectively.

The GAP4 programs used for G_{21} can be found on my webpage `http://www.lamfa.u-picardie.fr/marin`

Acknowledgements. I thank G. Pfeiffer for improving (optimizing) my original programs.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

Let W be a finite complex (pseudo-)reflection group. We let B denote the braid group of W, as defined in [3] §2 B, and recall that a (pseudo-)reflection s is called distinguished if its only nontrivial eigenvalue is $\exp(2\mathrm{i}\pi/o(s))$, where $\mathrm{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the chosen square root of -1 and $o(s)$ denotes the order of $s \in W$.

Date: January 31, 2017.

We let $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_{s,i}, a_{s,0}^{-1}]$ where s runs over the distinguished reflections in W and $0 \leq i \leq o(s)-1$, where $o(s)$ is the order of s in W, with the convention $a_{s,i} = a_{s',i}$ if s, s' are conjugates in W. For the standard notion of a braided reflection associated to s we refer to [3], where they are described as 'generators-of-the-monodromy' around the divisors of the orbit space. The definition of the Hecke algebra associated to W reads as follows.

Definition 2.1. *The generic Hecke algebra is the quotient of the group algebra RB by the relations $\sigma^{o(s)} - a_{s,o(s)-1}\sigma^{o(s)-1} - \cdots - a_{s,0} = 0$ for each braided reflection σ associated to s.*

Actually, it is enough to choose one such relation per conjugacy class of distinguished reflection, as all the corresponding braided reflections are conjugates in B. Although we are not going to use this result in our proof, we mention that it was already known by work of Etingof and Rains (see [8]) that the Hecke algebras of the groups considered here are modules of finite type. Our main result can now be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *When W is a complex reflection group of Shephard-Todd type G_{20} or G_{21}, then the generic Hecke algebra of W is a free R-module of rank $|W|$.*

Let $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[b_{s,i}, 1 \leq i \leq o(s)]$ where s runs over the distinguished reflections, with the convention $b_{s,i} = b_{s',i}$ if s, s' are conjugates in W, and define H_0 as the quotient of R_0B by the relations

$$\sigma^{o(s)} - b_{s,o(s)-1}\sigma^{o(s)-1} - \cdots - b_{s,1}\sigma - 1 = 0$$

for each braided reflection σ associated to s. Again, it is enough to choose one such relation per conjugacy class of distinguished reflection. We let H denote the usual Hecke algebra, defined over R.

The next proposition is useful in order to reduce the number of parameters involved in the computations.

Proposition 2.3.

(i) *H_0 is spanned by $|W|$ elements as a R_0-module iff it is a free R_0-module of rank $|W|$.*
(ii) *H is a free R-module of rank $|W|$ iff H_0 is a free R_0-module of rank $|W|$.*

Proof. The proof of (i) is the same as the one of [13], proposition 2.4. We prove (ii). We have a ring morphism $\phi_1 : R \to R_0$ defined by $a_{s,i} \mapsto b_{s,i}$ if $i \geq 1$, $a_{s,0} \mapsto 1$, for which $H_0 = H \otimes_{\phi_1} R_0$. Therefore, if H is a free R-module of rank $|W|$, we get the $H_0 \simeq R^{|W|} \otimes_{\phi_1} R_0 \simeq R_0^{|W|}$ is also free of rank $|W|$. We prove the converse. Assume that H_0 is R_0-free of rank $|W|$. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[x_s, x_s^{-1}]$ where s runs among the distinguished reflections of W with $x_s = x_{s'}$ if s, s' are conjugates in W. We have an injective ring morphism $R \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$ defined by $a_{s,0} \mapsto x_s^{o(s)} = x_s^{o(s)} \otimes 1$, and $a_{s,i} \mapsto b_{s,i}x_s^{o(s)-i} = x_s^{o(s)-i} \otimes b_{s,i}$ for $i \geq 1$. We first note that $A \otimes R_0$ is a free R-module of finite rank, since it is easily checked that

$$A \otimes R_0 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < o(s)} x_s^i R$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is a system of representatives of the conjugacy classes of distinguished reflections.

We denote $\check{H}_0$ the quotient of the group algebra $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0)B$ of B over $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$ by the relations $(x_s\sigma)^{o(s)} - b_{s,o(s)-1}x_s(x_s\sigma)^{o(s)-1} - \cdots - b_{s,1}x_s^{o(s)-1}(x_s\sigma) - x_s^{o(s)} = 0$ for each braided reflection σ associated to s. We consider the composite map

$$AB \xrightarrow[\Delta]{} (AB) \otimes_A (AB) \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Id}\otimes Ab]{} (AB) \otimes_A (AB^{ab}) \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Id}\otimes(s\mapsto x_s)]{} (AB) \otimes_A A \xrightarrow[\simeq]{} AB$$

where Δ is the usual coproduct of the Hopf algebra AB, $Ab : B \to B^{ab}$ the abelianization morphism and, by abuse of notations, the associated linear map $AB \to AB^{ab}$, and '$s \mapsto x_s$' denotes the map $B^{ab} \to A$ defined as follows. It is known (see e.g. [3]) that B^{ab} is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module admitting a natural basis indexed by the conjugacy classes of distinguished reflections. The map is defined by mapping the basis element associated to (a conjugacy class of) distinguished reflection s to the scalar $x_s \in A$.

The composite map is easily checked to be an A-algebra isomorphism. Its natural extension $(A \otimes R_0)B \to (A \otimes R_0)B$ induces an isomorphism $\check{H}_0 = H \otimes_R (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0)$.

Now, if H_0 is R_0-free of rank $|W|$, then $\check{H}_0 = H_0 \otimes_{R_0} A$ is $A \otimes R_0$-free of rank $|W|$. Since $A \otimes R_0$ is a free R-module of finite rank, this implies that $\check{H}_0$ is a free R-module of finite rank, and also that, since $\check{H}_0 = H \otimes_R (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0)$, that the R-module H is a direct factor of $\check{H}_0$. Therefore H is projective as a R-module and this implies that H is free of rank $|W|$ by [13], proposition 2.5.

□

The groups we hare interested in are the ones denoted G_{20} and G_{21} in the Shephard-Todd notation. They admit presentations symbolized by the following diagrams

that is $G_{20} = \langle s_1, s_2 \mid s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1 = s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2,\ s_1^3 = s_2^3 = 1\rangle$ and $G_{21} = \langle s_1, s_2 \mid (s_1s_2)^5 = (s_2s_1)^5,\ s_1^2 = s_2^3 = 1\rangle$. In these presentations, s_1, s_2 are distinguished reflections, and every distinguished reflection is a conjugate of one of them. Moreover, s_1 and s_2 are conjugates in G_{20}, as is readily deduced from the presentation itself. The corresponding braid groups admit the same presentations, with the order relations removed.

We use the above proposition to define the Hecke algebras of G_{20} and G_{21} over R_0, where $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[a, b]$ for G_{20} and $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, q]$ for G_{21}, with relations

G_{20}	:	s_1^3	=	$as_1^2 + bs_1 + 1$	G_{21}	:	s_1^2	=	$qs_1 + 1$
		s_2^3	=	$as_2^2 + bs_2 + 1$			s_2^3	=	$as_2^2 + bs_2 + 1$

In the subsequent section we prove these Hecke algebras are spanned by the 'right' number of elements, and this proves theorem 2.2 by proposition 2.3.

3. General method

In this section we describe the general method we used to prove the conjecture in these cases. It proceeds in several steps.

(i) Heuristics/Experimentation
(ii) Incremental determination of computational rules
(iii) Right multiplication table

3.1. **Heuristics/Experimentation.** The first crucial element is of heuristic nature, provided by a software able to compute non-commutative Gröbner basis for finitely presented associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. We used the GAP4 package GBNP (see [7]) with the standard ('`deglex`') ordering for monomials, taking as input the presentations of [3], where we specialized the Hecke algebras at more or less random parameters. For G_{20} and G_{21} it finished in reasonable time for all the specializations we tried, while for G_{18} and G_{19} it was not able to complete the computation after several months of running time, except for the simple case of the group algebra specialization, that is the presentation of W viewed as a presentation of

the Hecke algebra at very special parameters. For all the groups of the so-called icosahedral series of complex reflection groups of rank 2, GBNP nevertheless finds a Gröbner basis of the rational group algebra of W.

It turns out that most if not all the specializations we tried for G_{20} and G_{21} (including the group algebra specialization) provided the same number of elements for the Gröbner basis. As an indication of the complexity of this heuristic data, we provide the following table, were $\#W$ is the order of W and $\#gb$ is the number of elements in the Gröbner basis. The groups whose name appears in bold fonts are the ones for which the BMR freeness conjecture is now proved, after work of Chavli for G_{16} (see [4, 6]), of Marin-Pfeiffer for G_{22} (see [14]), and by the present work for G_{20} and G_{21}.

The output of GBNP we are interested in is the collection $\mathcal{G}$ of leading monomials of the Gröbner basis. In case we had computed the Gröbner basis for several specializations this collection turned out to be independent of the specialization. From this one computes easily the set $\mathcal{B}$ of all words avoiding the patterns which belong to $\mathcal{G}$. As expected, it has cardinality $|W|$ and provides for these specializations a basis of the Hecke algebra.

W	$\#W$	$\#gb$	W	$\#W$	$\#gb$
$\mathbf{G_{16}}$	600	44	$\mathbf{G_{20}}$	360	36
G_{17}	1200	49	$\mathbf{G_{21}}$	720	30
G_{18}	1800	138	$\mathbf{G_{22}}$	240	66
G_{19}	3600	558			

3.2. **Incremental determination of computational rules.** It so happens that all defining relations are included in the Gröbner bases provided by GBNP. We view these as the first step in the construction of an *ordered list* $\mathcal{L}$ of rewriting rules of the form $w \rightsquigarrow c_w$ where $w \in \mathcal{G}$ and c_w is a R_0-linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}$, with the property that the equality $w = c_w$ holds inside the Hecke algebra H_0. More precisely, the defining relations of the braid groups of the form $b_1 = b_2$ are included under the form $b_1 \rightsquigarrow b_2$ for $b_1 > b_2$. One checks that $b_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ in all cases. The order relations, of the form $\sigma^m = b_{s,m-1}\sigma^{m-1} + \cdots + b_{s,1}\sigma + 1$, are also included under the form $\sigma^m \rightsquigarrow b_{s,m-1}\sigma^{m-1} + \cdots + b_{s,1}\sigma + 1$. We denote $\mathcal{L}_0$ the ordered list of leading terms $w \in \mathcal{G}$ of the rules in $\mathcal{L}$.

The incremental process aims at enlarging $\mathcal{L}$ so that $\mathcal{L}$ contains at the end as many elements as $\mathcal{G}$, with the set of elements inside $\mathcal{L}_0$ being equal to $\mathcal{G}$.

The way we enlarge $\mathcal{L}$ is as follows. We use an algorithm for computing a given word as a R_0-linear combination of words as follows.

- Input : a word w in the generators and their inverses
- If w contains the inverse of a generator, replace w by a linear combination of *positive* words, by applying the rewriting rules $\sigma^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \sigma^{m-1} - b_{s,m-2}\sigma^{m-1} - \cdots - b_{s,1}$ as many times as needed, and apply the present algorithm to these words.
- If $w \in \mathcal{B}$, then return w.
- If not, then look for the first element in $\mathcal{L}_0$ which appear as a subword in w. If there is none, return `fail`. If there is one v, with $w = avb$, then replace it with the linear combination ac_vb, where $v \rightsquigarrow c_v$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}$, and apply the algorithm to each monomial of this linear combination.

It is clear that, if the present algorithm terminates for a given word w, producing a R_0-linear combination b_w, then the equality $w = b_w$ holds inside H_0. Adding more elements in

$\mathcal{L}$ will not change the result if the input is one for which the algorithm already terminated, but instead potentially increases the number of words for which it does provide a result.

Our strategy is then to establish a number of equalities inside H_0 of the form $w_i = b_{w_i}$, where $w_i \in \mathcal{G}$ and b_{w_i} is a linear combination of words with possibly negative powers, such that $\mathcal{L}$ originally contains the first $w_1, \dots, w_{n_0}$ originating from the defining relations, and so that we can build incrementally $\mathcal{L}$ as follows.

- If $\mathcal{L} = (w_1 \rightsquigarrow c_{w_1}, \dots, w_n \rightsquigarrow c_{w_n})$, then apply the algorithm with $\mathcal{L}$ to $b_{w_{n+1}}$. It produces a linear combination $c_{w_{n+1}}$. Add to $\mathcal{L}$ the rule $w_{n+1} \rightsquigarrow c_{w_{n+1}}$.
- Start again with the new $\mathcal{L}$.

For the first group (G_{20}) we are interested in, we managed to produce a convenient list of rewriting rules $w_i \rightsquigarrow b_{w_i}$ completely by hand (see section 4). For the group G_{21} the making of this list had to be partly automatized, too (see section 5).

3.3. **Right multiplication table.** Completing the (right)multiplication table is then merely a way to check that H_0 is indeed spanned by the elements of $\mathcal{B}$. It is sufficient to calculate, using the algorithm described in the previous subsection, each word ws where $w \in \mathcal{B}$ and s a generator, as a R_0-linear combination of the words in $\mathcal{B}$.

4. Rules for G_{20}

We first provide the list of rewriting rules, and subsequently justify it.

(1) $111 \rightsquigarrow a.11 + b.1 + \emptyset$
(2) $222 \rightsquigarrow a.22 + b.2 + \emptyset$
(3) $21212 \rightsquigarrow 12121$
(4) $2112121 \rightsquigarrow 1212112$
(5) $2121122 \rightsquigarrow 1122121 + (a).212112 + (-a).122121 + (-b).22121 + (b).21211$
(6) $22122121 \rightsquigarrow 12122122 + (a).2122121 + (-a).1212212 + (b).122121 + (-b).121221$
(7) $2211212 \rightsquigarrow 1212211 + (a).211212 + (-a).121221 + (-b).12122 + (b).11212$
(8) $21211211 \rightsquigarrow 11211212 + (a).2121121 + (-a).1211212 + (b).212112 + (-b).211212$
(9) $212112122 \rightsquigarrow 112122121 + (a).21211212 + (-a).12122121 + (-b).2122121 + (b).2121121$
(10) $221211212 \rightsquigarrow 121221211 + (a).21211212 + (-a).12122121 + (-b).1212212 + (b).1211212$

(11) $21221122 \rightsquigarrow a.2121122 + b.211122 + a.21\bar{2}122 + b.212 + a.21\bar{2}\bar{1}2 + b.21\bar{2}\bar{1} + \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21$
(12) $22112212 \rightsquigarrow a.2112212 + b.112212 + a.\bar{2}12212 + b.212 + a.\bar{2}\bar{1}212 + b.\emptyset + 12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}$
(13) $2112122121 \rightsquigarrow a.22121221212\bar{2} + b.2212121212\bar{2} + 221211212\bar{2}$
(14) $212212211 \rightsquigarrow a.21221221 + b.2122122 + a.2122121\bar{1} + b.2122 + 212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212$
(15) $211221122 \rightsquigarrow a.21122112 + b.2112211 + a.2112212\bar{2} + b.2112 + a.2112\bar{1}\bar{2} + b.21\bar{2}$
$+a.21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2} + b.\bar{1}\bar{2} + \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}1$
(16) $221122112 \rightsquigarrow (a).21122112 + (b).1122112 + (a).\bar{2}122112 + (b).2112 + (a).\bar{2}\bar{1}2112$
$+(b).\bar{2}12 + (a).\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12 + (b).\bar{2}\bar{1} + 1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}$
(17) $2112112211 \rightsquigarrow (a).211212211 + (b).21122211 + (a).2112\bar{1}211 + (b).21121$
$+(a).2112\bar{1}\bar{2}1 + (b).2112\bar{1}\bar{2} + 21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12$
(18) $221121121 \rightsquigarrow (a).21121121 + (b).1121121 + (a).\bar{2}121121 + (b).1121 + 121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}121$
(19) $21221121122 \rightsquigarrow (a).2122112122 + (b).212211222 + (a).21221121\bar{2}$
$+(b).21221121\bar{1} + 212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21121$
(20) $21122121121 \rightsquigarrow (a).2122121121 + (b).222121121 + (a).2\bar{1}2121121$
$+(b).221121 + 2212212\bar{1}\bar{2}$

(21) $22112112212 \rightsquigarrow (a).2211212212 + (b).221122212 + (a).22112\bar{1}212 + (b).221122 + 2211221212\bar{1}2\bar{1}$

(22) $211211211212 \rightsquigarrow 21122121222121\bar{1}$

(23) $211211212212 \rightsquigarrow 2112121212\bar{1}212$

(24) $211212211211 \rightsquigarrow 21\bar{2}12121211211$

(25) $2112112212211 \rightsquigarrow (a).211211221211 + (b).21121122111 + (a).2112112212\bar{1} + (b).211211221\bar{2} + 21\bar{2}\bar{1}2112212$

(26) $2112122122122 \rightsquigarrow 2121212\bar{1}2122122$

(27) $2112211211221 \rightsquigarrow (a).212211211221 + (b).22211211221 + (a).2\bar{1}211211221 + (b).21211221 + (a).2\bar{1}\bar{2}1211221 + (b).21221 + 2211221\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$

(28) $2112212212212 \rightsquigarrow (a).212212212212 + (b).22212212212 + (a).2\bar{1}212212212 + (b).22212212 + 2212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212212$

(29) $2122122122122 \rightsquigarrow \bar{1}21212\bar{1}2122122122$

(30) $2212212212212 \rightsquigarrow 221221221\bar{2}1212\bar{1}2\bar{1}$

(31) $21121121121121 \rightsquigarrow 211211211\bar{2}121212\bar{2}$

(32) $21121121121122 \rightsquigarrow a.2112112112122 + b.211211211222 + a.211211211\bar{2}12 + b.21121121\bar{1}2 + 211211\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}121$

(33) $21121121122122 \rightsquigarrow 21\bar{2}12121\bar{2}121122122$

(34) $21122122112112 \rightsquigarrow 2112\bar{1}21212\bar{1}2112112$

(35) $211211221221221 \rightsquigarrow 21\bar{2}12121\bar{2}1221221221$

(36) $2112112112212112 \rightsquigarrow 211211211212121\bar{2}12$

We now justify each one of the above rules. Rule (3) is a direct consequence of the braid relation, and (4) follows from $21\underline{12121} = \underline{2121}212 = 1212112$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
2121122 &= \bar{1}\underline{12121}122\\
&= \bar{1}2\underline{1212}122\\
&= \bar{1}22121\underline{222}\\
&= a.\bar{1}2212122 + b.\bar{1}221212 + \bar{1}22121\\
&= a.\bar{1}2\underline{212}122 + b.\bar{1}2\underline{21212} + \bar{1}(111 - a.11 - b.1)22121\\
&= a.\bar{1}\underline{2121}212 + b.\bar{1}\underline{21212}1 + (11 - a.1 - b.\emptyset)22121\\
&= a.\bar{1}1212112 + b.\bar{1}121211 + 1122121 - a.122121 - b.22121\\
&= a.212112 + b.21211 + 1122121 - a.122121 - b.22121
\end{aligned}$$

whence (5).

We have $22122121 = 221\underline{221212}\bar{2} = 2\underline{212121}21\bar{2} = \underline{2121}211\bar{2}1\bar{2} = 121\underline{211}21\bar{2}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}
22122121 &= 1212(a.11 + b.1 + \emptyset)21\bar{2}\\
&= a.\underline{12121}121\bar{2} + b.12\underline{12121}\bar{2} + 121221\bar{2}\\
&= a.212\underline{12121}\bar{2} + b.12212\underline{12}\bar{2} + 121221\bar{2}\\
&= a.212212\underline{12}\bar{2} + b.122121 + 121221(22 - a.2 - b\emptyset)\\
&= a.2122121 + b.122121 + 12122122 - a.1212212 - b.121221
\end{aligned}$$

whence (6).

We have $2211212 = 221\underline{12121}\bar{1} = 22\underline{12121}2\bar{1} = \underline{222}12122\bar{1}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2211212 &= (a.22 + b.2 + \emptyset)12122\bar{1} \\ &= a.2\underline{212122}\bar{1} + b.\underline{21212}2\bar{1} + 12122\bar{1} \\ &= a.21\underline{21212}\bar{1} + b.1\underline{21212}\bar{1} + 1212211 - a.121221 - b.12122 \\ &= a.2112121\bar{1} + b.1112121\bar{1} + 1212211 - a.121221 - b.12122 \\ &= a.211212 + b.11212 + 1212211 - a.121221 - b.12122 \end{aligned}$$

whence (7).

We have $21211211 = \bar{1}\underline{12121}1211 = \bar{1}21\underline{2121}211 = \bar{1}211212\underline{111}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} 21211211 &= \bar{1}211212(a.11 + b.1 + \emptyset) \\ &= a\bar{1}21\underline{12121}1 + b\bar{1}21\underline{12121} + (11 - a.1 - b.\emptyset)211212 \\ &= a\bar{1}\underline{21212}121 + b\bar{1}\underline{21212}12 + 11211212 - a.1211212 - b.211212 \\ &= a\bar{1}12121121 + b\bar{1}1212112 + 11211212 - a.1211212 - b.211212 \\ &= a2121121 + b212112 + 11211212 - a.1211212 - b.211212 \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (8). Similarly, we have $212112122 = \bar{1}\underline{12121}12122 = \bar{1}212\underline{12121}22 = \bar{1}2122121\underline{222}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} 212112122 &= \bar{1}2122121(a.22 + b.2 + \emptyset) \\ &= a.\bar{1}212\underline{21212}2 + b.\bar{1}212\underline{21212} + \bar{1}2122121 \\ &= a.\bar{1}\underline{21212}1212 + b.\bar{1}\underline{21212}121 + (11 - a.1 - b)2122121 \\ &= a.\bar{1}121211212 + b.\bar{1}12121121 + 112122121 - a.12122121 - b.2122121 \\ &= a.21211212 + b.2121121 + 112122121 - a.12122121 - b.2122121 \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (9). Finally we have $221211212 = 22121\underline{12121}\bar{1} = 22\underline{12121}212\bar{1} = \underline{222}12122121\bar{1}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} 221211212 &= (a.22 + b.2 + \emptyset)1212121\bar{2} \\ &= a.2\underline{212122}12\bar{1} + b.\underline{21212}212\bar{1} + 1212121\bar{2} \\ &= a.2121\underline{21212}\bar{1} + b.121\underline{21212}\bar{1} + 1212212(11 - a.1 - b) \\ &= a.2121121212\bar{1} + b.12112121\bar{1} + 121221211 - a.12122121 - b.1212212 \\ &= a.21211212 + b.1211212 + 121221211 - a.12122121 - b.1212212 \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (10).

We have $21\underline{22}1122 = a.2121122 + b.211122 + 21\bar{2}1122$ and $21\bar{2}\underline{11}22 = a.21\bar{2}122 + b.21\bar{2}22 + 21\bar{2}\bar{1}22 = a.21\bar{2}122 + b.212 + 21\bar{2}\bar{1}22$. Then, $21\bar{2}\bar{1}\underline{22} = a.21\bar{2}\bar{1}2 + b.21\bar{2}\bar{1} + 21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$. Since $21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2} = \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21$ this proves (11).

We have $\underline{22}112212 = a.2112212 + b.112212 + \bar{2}112212$, then $\bar{2}\underline{11}2212 = a.\bar{2}12212 + b.\bar{2}2212 + \bar{2}\bar{1}2212$ and $\bar{2}\bar{1}2212 = a.\bar{2}\bar{1}212 + b\bar{2}\bar{1}12 + \bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12$. Finally, $\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12 = 12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}$ and this proves (12).

We have $2112122121 = 211212\underline{21212}\bar{2} = 21\underline{12121}21\bar{2}1\bar{2} = 2\underline{12121}221\bar{2}1\bar{2} = 2212\underline{122}1\bar{2}1\bar{2} = a.22121221\bar{2}1\bar{2} + b.2212121\bar{2}1\bar{2} + 221211\bar{2}1\bar{2}$ and this proves (13).

We have $212212\underline{11} = a.21221221 + b.2122122 + 2122122\bar{1}$, and $2122\underline{12}\bar{1} = a.212212\bar{1} + b.21221\bar{1} + 21221\bar{2}\bar{1}$. Now, $21221\bar{2}\bar{1} = 212\underline{21}\bar{2}\bar{1}2 = 212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212$ and this proves (14).

We expand $2(11)(22)(11)(22)$ by using four times the relation $x^2 = a.x + b + x^{-1}$ for $x \in \{1, 2\}$ at the four places between parenthesis we get $211221122 = a.21122112 + b.2112211 + a.2112212\bar{1} + b.2112 + a.2112\bar{1}\bar{2} + b.21\bar{2} + a.21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2} + b.\bar{1}\bar{2} + 2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$. Now $\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}1 = 2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$ and this proves (15).

Rule #16 is similar to rule # 15 : we expand $(22)(11)(22)(11)2$ and use $1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1} = \bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2$. Rule #17 is similar to rules # 15 and # 16 : expand $2112(11)(22)(11)$ and use $2112\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1} = 211\underline{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}}2 = 211\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12 = 21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12$.

By expanding (22)(11)21121 we get $221121121 = (a).21121121 + (b).1121121 + (a).\bar{2}121121 + (b).1121 + \bar{2}\bar{1}21121$. Since $\bar{2}\bar{1}21121 = 1\underline{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21}121 = 121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}121$ this proves (18).

By expanding 2122112(11)(22) we get $21221121122 = (a).2122112122 + (b).212211222 + (a).21221121\bar{2} + (b).2122112\bar{1} + 21221121\bar{2}\bar{2}$ and $21221121\bar{2}\bar{2} = 2122\underline{1\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}}1 = 212\underline{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}121 = 212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21121$ which proves (19).

By expanding 2(11)(22)121121 we get $21122121121 = (a).2122121121 + (b).222121121 + (a).2\bar{1}2121121 + (b).221121 + 2\bar{1}\bar{2}121121$ and $2\underline{\bar{1}2121}121 = 221\underline{2\bar{1}\bar{2}121} = 2212212\bar{1}\bar{2}$ which proves (20).

By expanding 22112(11)(22)12 we get $22112112212 = (a).2211212212 + (b).221122212 + (a).22112\bar{1}212 + (b).221122 + 22112\bar{1}\bar{2}12$ and $22112\bar{1}\bar{2}12 = 22112\underline{\bar{1}\bar{2}121}\bar{1} = 221122121\bar{2}\bar{1}$ proves (21).

We have $211211211212 = 21121121\underline{12121}\bar{1} = 2112\underline{11212121}2\bar{1} = 211\underline{212121}22\bar{1}2\bar{1} = 21122121222\bar{1}2\bar{1}$ and this proves (22). We have $211211212212 = 2112\underline{11212}\bar{1}212 = 21121212\bar{1}212$ and this proves (23). We have $211212211211 = 21\bar{2}\underline{12122}11211 = 21\bar{2}12121211211$ and this proves (24). We expand 211211221(22)(11) and get $2112112212211 = (a).211211221211 + (b).21121122111 + (a).211211221\bar{2}\bar{1} + (b).2112112212\bar{2} + 21121122\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}$ and $21121122\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1} = 2112112\underline{21\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}}2 = 21121\underline{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}}212 = 211\underline{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}}2212 = 211\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2112212 = 21\bar{2}\bar{1}2112212$ and this proves (25). We have $2112122122122 = 21\underline{12121}\bar{1}2122122 = 212121\bar{2}\bar{1}2122122$ and this proves (26).

By expanding 2(11)(22)(11)211221 we get $2112211211221 = (a).212211211221 + (b).22211211221 + (a).2\bar{1}211211221 + (b).21211221 + (a).2\bar{1}\bar{2}1211221 + (b).21221 + 2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}211221$ and $2\underline{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21}1221 = 221\underline{\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12}21 = 22112\underline{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21} = 2211221\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$ and this proves (27). By expanding 2(11)(22)12212212 we get $2112212212212 = (a).212212212212 + (b).22212212212 + (a).2\bar{1}212212212 + (b).22212212 + 2\bar{1}\bar{2}12212212$, and $2\bar{1}\bar{2}12212212 = 2\underline{\bar{1}\bar{2}121}\bar{1}212212 = 2212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212212$ and this proves (28). We have $2122122122122 = \bar{1}\underline{12121}\bar{1}2122122122 = \bar{1}212121\bar{2}122122122$ and this proves (29). We have $2212212212212 = 22122122121\underline{12121}\bar{1} = 221221221\bar{2}1212121\bar{1}$ and this proves (30). We have $21121121121121 = 2112112112\bar{1}\underline{2121}2\bar{2} = 211211211212\bar{1}212121\bar{2}$ and this proves (31). By expanding 2112112112(11)(22) we get $21121121121122 = a.2112112112122 + b.211211211222 + a.21121121121\bar{2} + b.21121121121\bar{1} + 21121121121\bar{2}$, and $21121121121\bar{2} = 2112112\underline{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}}1 = 211211212\bar{1}\bar{2}121$, which proves (32).

We have $21121121122122 = 21\bar{2}\underline{21212}\bar{2}121122122 = 21\bar{2}12121\bar{2}121122122$ which proves (33). We have $21122122112112 = 2112\bar{1}12121\bar{1}2112112 = 2112\bar{1}21212\bar{1}2112112$ which proves (34). We have $211211221221221 = 21\bar{2}21212\bar{2}1221221221 = 21\bar{2}12121\bar{2}1221221221$ which proves (35). We have $2112112112212112 = 211211211\underline{21212}\bar{2}12 = 21121121121212\bar{2}12$ which proves (36).

5. Rules for G_{21}

5.1. **Semi-manual procedures.** Let Y be the alphabet $\{1, 2, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$, $M(Y)$ the free monoid over Y, and $F(Y) \subset M(Y)$ the subset of *freely reduced words*, that is the set of natural representatives of the free group on $\{1, 2\}$ viewed as a quotient of $M(Y)$. We denote $M^+(Y) = M(\{1, 2\}) \subset M(Y)$ the submonoid of *positive words*. We let $\mathrm{red} : M(Y) \to F(Y)$ denote the usual reduction procedure, and $\mathrm{red} : RM(Y) \to RF(Y)$ its natural linear extension, where we let $RM(Y)$ the monoid algebra over R and $RF(Y)$ the (free) submodule spanned by $F(Y)$. We define $\mathrm{pos} : RM(Y) \to RM(Y)$ and call *positivation* the (unique) algebra morphism mapping $1 \mapsto 1$, $2 \mapsto 2$, $\bar{2} \mapsto 22 - a.2 - b.\emptyset$, $\bar{1} \mapsto 1 - q.\emptyset$.

A more complicated procedure is what we call *expansion*. By convention we let $\overline{\overline{y}} = y$ for all $y \in \{1, 2\}$. For $I \subset \mathbb{N}^*$, let us define the *I-inversion* map $\mathrm{inv}_I : M(Y) \to M(Y)$ as follows.

If $y = y_1y_2y_3\dots y_n \in M(Y)$ is a word in n letters, with $y_k \in Y$, $\mathrm{inv}_I(y) = y' = y'_1y'_2y'_3\dots y'_n \in M(Y)$ is defined by $y'_k = \bar{y}_k$ if $k \in I$, $y'_k = y_k$ if $k \notin I$. We now define the partially defined *expansion* map $\exp_I : M(Y) \dashrightarrow M(Y)$ with respect to I by induction on the cardinality of I. If $I = \emptyset$, then $\exp_\emptyset$ is the identity map. If not, let $i_0 = \min(I)$, and let J such that $I = J \sqcup \{i_0\}$. If $y = y_1y_2y_3\dots y_n \in M(Y)$ is a word in n letters, with $y_k \in Y$, then $\exp_I(y)$ is defined if $\exp_J(y)$ is defined, $n \geq i_0$ and if

- either $y_{i_0} = 1$, in which case $\exp_I(y) = q.y' + z$ with $y' = y'_1y'_2\dots y'_{n-1}$ where $y'_k = y_k$ for $k < i_0$, $y'_k = y_{k+1}$ for $k \geq i_0$, and $z = \exp_J(\mathrm{inv}_{\{i_0\}}(y))$
- either $y_{i_0} = y_{i_0} + 1 = 2$, in which case $\exp_I(y) = a.y' + b.y'' + z$ with
  - $y'' = y''_1y''_2\dots y''_{n-2}$ where $y''_k = y_k$ for $k < i_0$, $y''_k = y_{k+2}$ for $k \geq i_0$
  - $y' = y'_1y'_2\dots y'_{n-1}$ where $y'_k = y_k$ for $k < i_0$, $y'_{i_0} = y_{i_0} = 2$, $y'_k = y_{k+1}$ for $k \geq i_0 + 1$.
  - $z = \exp_J(\mathrm{inv}_{\{i_0\}}(y''))$.

It is easily checked that, when defined, $\exp_I(y) = \mathrm{tail}_I(y) + \mathrm{head}_I(y)$ with $\mathrm{head}_I(y) \in M(Y)$ being characterized, with the above notations, by $\mathrm{head}_{\{i_0\}\sqcup J}(y) = \mathrm{head}_J(z)$, and $\mathrm{head}_\emptyset(y) = y$.

5.2. **Rules.** We can now give the set of rules for G_{21}, the justification that they correspond to genuine relations inside its Hecke algebra basically relying on the above sections.

(1) $11 \rightsquigarrow (q).1 + \emptyset$
(2) $222 \rightsquigarrow (a).22 + (b).2 + \emptyset$
(3) $2121212121 \rightsquigarrow 1212121212$
(4) $21212121221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{11}(\bar{1}1 * w) + \bar{1}\bar{2}1212121211)$
(5) $221221212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{1,3,4}(w) + 1212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$
(6) $212121221221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{7,9,10,12}(212121221221) + \overline{1212}121212)$
(7) $2121221221221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{5,7,8,10,11,13}(2121221221221) + \overline{1212\bar{1}2}1212)$
(8) $2212212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{1,3,4,6,7}(2212212212121) + 12121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$
(9) $2121221212212221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{10,12,13,15}(w * \bar{2}\bar{1}12) + \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12121121212)$
(10) $221212212121221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{5,6,8}(w * 2\bar{2}) + 22122121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$

(11) $21212122121221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{11,13}(\bar{1}\bar{2}21 * w) + \mathrm{tail}_{12,14,15}(w') + w'')$
$w' = \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}1212121221221$
$w'' = \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12121211$
(12) $21221221221221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14}(w) + \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}12)$
(13) $22122122122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{1,3,4,6,7,9,10,12}(w) + 12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$
(14) $2121212212121221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{14,16}(w * \bar{2}\bar{1}12) + 21212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121212121212)$
(15) $221221212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{8,9}(w * 212\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}) + 221221221212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$
(16) $2212121221212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{7,8}(w * 2\bar{2}) + 221212212121212\bar{1}\bar{2})$
(17) $2212212212122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{3,5,6,8,9}(1\bar{1} * w) + 12121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}12121)$
(18) $22122121212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{3,5,6}(1\bar{1} * w) + 12121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212121)$
(19) $212122122121221221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{12,13,15,16,18}(w * \bar{2}\bar{1}12) + \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}21221221212)$
(20) $221221212212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{8,9,11,12}(w * 2\bar{2}) + 221221221212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$

Num.	Word	Num.	Word	Num.	Word
1	111	13	2112122121	25	2112112212211
2	222	14	212212211	26	2112122122122
3	21212	15	211221122	27	2112211211221
4	2112121	16	221122112	28	2112212212212
5	2121122	17	2112112211	29	2122122122122
6	22122121	18	221121121	30	2212212212212
7	2211212	19	21221121122	31	21121121121121
8	21211211	20	21122121121	32	21121121121122
9	212112122	21	22112112212	33	21121121122122
10	221211212	22	211211211212	34	21122122112112
11	21221122	23	211211212212	35	211211221221221
12	22112212	24	211212211211	36	2112112112212112

TABLE 1. Dominant terms of the Gröbner basis for G_{20}

(21) $2212122121212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{5,6}(\hat{w}) + 221221212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212121)$
$\hat{w} = 22121221212121212\bar{2}\bar{1}212121$

(22) $2212212212122122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{3,5,6,8,9}(1\bar{1} * w) + 12121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2122121)$

(23) $2212122122121212122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{5,6,8,9}(w) + \mathrm{tail}_{5,7,8}(w')) + w''$
$w' = 12\bar{2}\bar{1}2212212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121$
$w'' = 12121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121$

(24) $2212212122121221212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{13,14}(w * 212\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}) + 221221212212212121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$

(25) $2212212122122122121212 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{7,9,10,12}(121\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1} * w) + 12121222122121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121)$

(26) $21212212122122121221 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{20,22}(w * \bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}1212) + 21212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212122212112121212)$

(27) $2212122122122122122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{5,6,8,9}(\hat{w}) + 221221212112212212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2})$
$\hat{w} = 22121221221212122\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212122121$

(28) $2212212122122122122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{7,9,10}(121\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1} * w) + 12121211212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}212122121)$

(29) $2212212212212212212121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{15,16}(w * 212\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}) + \mathrm{tail}_{10,11,13,14}(w') + w'')$
$w' = 221212212122122121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$
$w'' = 22121221221212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}$

(30) $2212212212212212122121 \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{red}(\mathrm{tail}_{14,15}(\hat{w}) + \mathrm{tail}_{5,6,8,9}(w') + \mathrm{tail}_{5,7,8}(w'') + w''')$
$\hat{w} = 221212212211\bar{2}\bar{1}221221212122121$
$w' = 22121221221212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121$
$w'' = 12\bar{2}\bar{1}2212212121\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121$
$w''' = 12121212\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{1}2121$

REFERENCES

[1] S. Ariki, *Representation theory of a Hecke algebra of $G(r, p, n)$*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 164–185.

[2] S. Ariki, K. Koike, *A Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ and construction of its irreducible representations*, Advances in Math. **106** (1994), 216–243.

[3] M. Broué, G. Malle, R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **500** (1998) 127-190.

[4] E. Chavli, *The BMR freeness conjecture for exceptional groups of rank 2*, doctoral thesis, Univ. Paris Diderot (Paris 7), 2016.

$\emptyset$	112122	2112212	21221121	211212212	2112112122	12211211221	121122122122	2112112112212
1	112211	2121121	21221211	211221121	2112112212	12212211211	121221221221	2112112122112
2	112212	2122112	21221221	211221211	2112122112	12212212212	122122112112	2112112212112
11	121121	2122121	22112112	211221221	2112122122	21121121121	122122122122	2112112212212
12	121122	2122122	22112211	212211211	2112211211	21121121122	211211211211	2112212211211
21	121211	2211211	22121121	212212112	2112212112	21121121221	211211211221	11211211211211
22	121221	2211221	22122112	212212212	2112212211	21121122121	211211212211	11211211211221
112	122112	2212112	22122122	221121122	2112212212	21121122122	211211221211	11211211212211
121	122121	2212211	112112112	221221121	2122112112	21121221121	211211221221	11211211221211
122	122122	2212212	112112122	221221221	2122121121	21121221221	211212212212	11211211221221
211	211211	11211211	112112211	1121121121	2122122122	21122112112	211221121122	11211212212212
212	211212	11211212	112112212	1121121122	2211211221	21122122112	211221221121	11211221121122
221	211221	11211221	112121121	1121121221	2212211211	21122122122	211221221221	11211221221121
1121	212112	11212112	112122112	1121122112	2212212212	21221221221	212212212212	11211221221221
1122	212211	11212211	112122121	1121122121	11211211211	22122112112	221221221221	11212212212212
1211	212212	11212212	112122122	1121122122	11211211212	22122122122	1121121121121	11221221221221
1212	221121	11221121	112211211	1121211212	11211211221	112112112112	1121121121122	12112112112112
1221	221122	11221122	112211221	1121221121	11211212211	112112112122	1121121121221	12112112112212
2112	221211	11221211	112212112	1121221211	11211212212	112112112212	1121121122121	12112112122112
2121	221221	11221221	112212211	1121221221	11211221121	112112122112	1121121122122	12112112212112
2122	1121121	12112112	112212212	1122112112	11211221211	112112122122	1121121221121	12112112212212
2211	1121122	12112122	121121121	1122112211	11211221221	112112211211	1121121221221	12112212211211
2212	1121211	12112211	121121122	1122121121	11212211211	112112212112	1121122112112	21121121122121
11211	1121221	12112212	121121221	1122122112	11212212112	112112212211	1121122122112	21121121221121
11212	1122112	12121121	121122112	1122122122	11212212212	112112212212	1121122122122	21121122122122
11221	1122121	12122112	121122121	1211211211	11221121122	112122112112	1121221221221	112112112112112
12112	1122122	12122121	121122122	1211211212	11221221121	112122121121	1122122112112	112112112112212
12121	1211211	12122122	121211212	1211211221	11221221221	112122122122	1122122122122	112112112122112
12122	1211212	12211211	121221121	1211212211	12112112112	112211211221	1211211211211	112112112212112
12211	1211221	12211221	121221211	1211212212	12112112122	112212211211	1211211211221	112112112212212
12212	1212112	12212112	121221221	1211221121	12112112212	112212212212	1211211212211	112112212211211
21121	1212211	12212211	122112112	1211221211	12112122112	121121121121	1211211221211	121121121122121
21122	1212212	12212212	122112211	1211221221	12112122122	121121121122	1211211221221	121121121221121
21211	1221121	21121121	122121121	1212211211	12112211211	121121121221	1211212212212	121121122122122
21221	1221122	21121122	122122112	1212212112	12112212112	121121122121	1211221121122	211211211221211
22112	1221211	21121221	122122122	1212212212	12112212211	121121122122	1211221221121	1121121121122121
22121	1221221	21122112	211211211	1221121122	12112212212	121121221121	1211221221221	1121121121221121
22122	2112112	21122121	211211212	1221221121	12122112112	121121221221	1212212212212	1121121122122122
112112	2112122	21122122	211211221	1221221221	12122121121	121122112112	1221221221221	1211211211221211
112121	2112211	21211212	211212211	2112112112	12122122122	121122122112	2112112112112	11211211211221211

TABLE 2. Basis for G_{20}

[5] E. Chavli, *The BMR freeness conjecture for the first two families of the exceptional groups of rank 2*, to appear in Comptes Rendus Mathématiques.

[6] E. Chavli, *Universal deformations of the finite quotients of the braid group on 3 strands*, preprint 2015, to appear in J. Algebra.

[7] A.M. Cohen, D.A.H. Gijsbers, and J.W. Knopper, *GBNP package version 1.0.1*, `http://mathdox.org/gbnp/`.

[8] P. Etingof, E. Rains, *Central extensions of preprojective algebras, the quantum Heisenberg algebra, and 2-dimensional complex reflection groups*, J. Algebra **299** (2006), 570–588.

[9] M. Geck, G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 21. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[10] I. Marin, *The freeness conjecture for Hecke algebras of complex reflection groups, and the case of the Hessian group G_{26}*, J. Pure Applied Algebra **218** (2014) 704-720.

[11] I. Marin, *The cubic Hecke algebra on at most 5 strands*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), 2754–2782.

[12] I. Marin, *Krammer representations for complex braid groups*, J. Algebra **371** (2012), 175–206.

Num.	Word	Num.	Word
1	11	16	2212121221212121
2	222	17	2212212212122121
3	2121212121	18	22122121212212121
4	21212121221	19	212122122121221221
5	221221212121	20	221221212212212121
6	212121221221	21	2212122121212212121
7	2121221221221	22	2212212212122122121
8	2212212212121	23	22121221221212122121
9	2121221212221221	24	22122121221212212121
10	22121221212121	25	221221212212212122121
11	21212122121221	26	2121221212212122121221
12	21221221221221	27	22121221221212212122121
13	22122122122121	28	221221212212212212122121
14	2121212212121221	29	22121221212212212212121
15	2212212122121221	30	221212212121221212122121

TABLE 3. Dominant terms of the Gröbner basis for G_{21}

[13] I. Marin, *The freeness conjecture for Hecke algebras of complex reflection groups, and the case of the Hessian group* G_{26}, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), 704–720.
[14] I. Marin, G. Pfeiffer, *The BMR freeness conjecture for the 2-reflection groups*, preprint 2014, to appear in Math. of Comput.

LAMFA, UMR CNRS 7352, UNIVERSITÉ DE PICARDIE-JULES VERNE, 33 RUE SAINT-LEU, 80039 AMIENS CEDEX 1, FRANCE
E-mail address: ivan.marin@u-picardie.fr